

Incorporating ecological considerations into industrial design practice


Johannes Behrisch
Institute for Sustainable Futures
University of Technology, Sydney

Thesis submitted for the award of Doctor of Philosophy
July 2013

Certificate of original authorship

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student :  (Johannes Behrisch)

Date: 17.07.2013

Acknowledgements

This thesis would not have been possible without the support of a number of people and institutions whom I would like to thank and acknowledge.

First of all, I would like to thank my principal supervisor Associate Professor Damien Giurco (Institute for Sustainable Futures, UTS) for his tireless academic and moral support. His warm and positive attitude combined with his intelligent and constructive way of providing feedback greatly enriched the arguments developed in this thesis. He also always took the time to provide encouragement and advice whenever I was facing a thesis crisis. Dr. Timothy Prior (ETH Zürich) as a co-supervisor was another great source of support during the second half of my candidature. He contributed very helpful pragmatic input on structuring and editing Chapters 4, 5, and 7. My second co-supervisor, Dr. Mariano Ramirez (UNSW), provided valuable feedback on the design-related aspects of this thesis, especially in Chapters 1 - 3.

The timely completion of my thesis was facilitated through the great institutional and moral support I received at UTS. First of all, I would like to acknowledge the postgraduate program coordinators at the Institute for Sustainable Futures (ISF), in particular Professor Cynthia Mitchell, Associate Professor Juliet Willets, Dr. Kumudini (Kumi) Abey Suriya and Suzanne Cronan. I also would like to express my gratitude towards my fellow PhD candidates who were always a source of encouragement and inspiration. The positive and familiar atmosphere within the ISF PhD community, the interesting and funny conversations as well as the social events greatly contributed to the enjoyment of being a PhD student at ISF. In particular I would like to thank Dena Fam, who was my first point of contact at ISF back in 2009; Nicole Thornton, who reviewed the first piece of writing I did in my candidature, and the members of my GAS (group for accountability and support): John McKibbin, Reza Memary, Bronwyn Cumbo, Eleanor Glenn, Tania Leimbach, Ariane Liu, Andrew Glover and Erica Oleson. The ISF staff was also very supportive in providing feedback and a great social atmosphere to work in. Finally, UTS also provided financial support by granting me both an IRS and a UTSP scholarship and two conference grants. The German Academic Exchange Service provided financial support during the first year of my candidature. In that regard, I would also like to thank Tobias Glaser, Stefan Koensgen (BMW), Professor Othmar Wickenheiser (University of Applied Science, Munich) for their support with the scholarship applications.

Linda Alila from The Expert Editor provided proofreading and editing services. Dr. Robyn Maddern from Research Assist gave me a helpful introduction to qualitative data analysis in NVivo.

I also would like to express my gratitude towards the three examiners of my thesis. Their comments and recommendations helped to further improve the clarity of the argument and the readability of the document.

There are a number of people who provided expert input and feedback, which informed different chapters of my thesis. The discussions with Professor Kees Dorst and Associate Professor Douglas Tomkin at the Designing Out Crime research centre (DOC) at UTS provided valuable input. Similarly, Roderick Walden, Cathy Lockhart and Berto Pandolfo at the School of Design at the Design Architecture and Building Faculty (DAB) at UTS gave relevant expert feedback on the design aspects of my thesis, as well as Adam Thorpe, Creative Director of the Design Against Crime Research Centre (UK). Berto Pandolfo and Cathy Lockhart enhanced my learning through offering me the opportunity to contribute to teaching. My brother-in-law, Robert Neuhauser, helped me structure my thoughts during the early stages of my candidature. Alison Norcott provided feedback regarding the de-identification of the research participants in the discussion chapters.

To increase the relevance of my findings for design practice, I also sought feedback from design practitioners throughout the research process: David Gravina at Digital Eskimo; my former work colleagues at Pilotfish, in particular Mark Nagel and my friends at Lumod Design.

I would also like to thank the directors and staff at IDE+ for understanding that I needed to balance PhD studies and work.

The empirical part of the research was possible through the generous support of the research participants. I would like to thank them for their time, honesty and the insights they provided. The UNSW students Jessica Tong and Joseph Louis Tan supported me with data search for the website review.

Finally, I want to thank my family for being supportive during this long and work-intensive time. I want to especially thank my partner Christiane for not only being understanding and providing moral support, but also for providing helpful feedback to the thesis structure.

I dedicate this thesis to my beloved father who passed away in 2011. I was in Australia and he in Germany but the experience of doing a PhD opened my eyes and heart for things that were important to him and that he wanted to share with me.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	III
Table of Figures.....	X
Table of Tables	XIII
Table of Boxes.....	XIV
Abbreviations.....	XV
Abstract.....	XVI
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. Ambiguity about the application of the ecodesign idea by industrial designers.....	2
1.1.1. The importance of distinguishing engineers from industrial designers	2
1.1.2. A misalignment of recommendations for applying the ecodesign idea in a commercial context and industrial design practice	3
1.2. Can industrial designers address shortcomings of contemporary eco-innovation? ..	5
1.2.1. Empirical studies into the application of the ecodesign idea do not focus on industrial design practice	6
1.3. Aim and scope of this thesis	7
1.3.1. The commercial consumer product development process as research context..	8
1.3.2. A focus on industrial design practice	9
1.3.3. A pragmatic instead of a normative starting point.....	9
1.3.4. The product development process as a boundary for this investigation	10
1.3.5. An ecological agenda ahead of a sustainable agenda	10
1.4. Structure of the thesis	10
1.5. Significance of this research	13
CHAPTER 2. WHAT IS THE INFLUENCE OF INDUSTRIAL DESIGN PRACTICE?	15
2.1. The context of industrial design practice.....	17
2.1.1. The goals of industrial design practice.....	19
2.1.2. The problem situation of industrial design.....	22
2.2. Design Thinking to understand and address design problems	24
2.2.1. The two paradigms that govern Design Thinking	25
2.2.2. The reaction of the design professions to the prescriptive and descriptive models.....	31
2.2.3. Industrial Design Craft.....	33
2.3. A model of the product properties to explain how industrial design practice creates value for consumers.....	36
2.3.1. Form and Function	37
2.3.2. Product affordance and perceived affordance	38
2.3.3. Product semantics and product meaning	41
2.3.4. A holistic conceptualisation of the product properties—accounting for the interrelation between meanings and technology.....	44
2.4. Influencing product properties through industrial design practice.....	47
2.4.1. Influencing the meaning dimension—design as sense-creating activity	47
2.4.2. The interrelationship between the meaning and the technological dimension	52

2.5.	The influence of industrial designers on the product development process	53
2.5.1.	The operational role of industrial design	53
2.5.2.	The strategic role of industrial design	54
2.5.3.	Classifying product development processes—a more refined typology of the design problems of industrial design practice	56
2.6.	Concluding summary	58
CHAPTER 3. INCORPORATING THE ECODESIGN IDEA INTO INDUSTRIAL DESIGN PRACTICE		60
3.1.	Confusion about incorporating the ecodesign idea into industrial design practice .	63
3.1.1.	Ecodesign and sustainable design: the two dominant groups of concepts that share the ecodesign idea	63
3.1.2.	Where was industrial design practice left behind?	65
3.2.	The different perspectives on the <i>ecodesign idea</i>	66
3.2.1.	The isolated product qualities perspective	68
3.2.2.	The technical perspective	71
3.2.3.	The socio-technical perspective	76
3.2.4.	Positioning industrial design practice relative to the different perspectives	79
3.3.	A transition from an ecological to a sustainable agenda	84
3.3.1.	The capacity to integrate a sustainable agenda into industrial design practice is limited	85
3.3.2.	Integrating ecological considerations into industrial design practice—an expanded notion of ecodesign?	87
3.4.	Integrating ecological considerations into product development processes	89
3.4.1.	Success factors for integrating the ecodesign idea into a commercial environment	89
3.4.2.	The role of design in society and the sustainable design process	94
3.4.3.	Can industrial design practice play an active role in the identification of goals and drivers for ecodesign?	97
3.5.	A lack of insight into the real-world practice of industrial designers	98
3.5.1.	Studies applying the traditional notion of ecodesign as a guiding framework	100
3.5.2.	Studies without a guiding framework	101
3.6.	Concluding summary	101
CHAPTER 4. RESEARCH DESIGN		104
4.1.	The research questions and limitations of past empirical studies	105
4.1.1.	Limitations of past empirical studies	107
4.2.	Adaptive Theory (AT)	108
4.2.1.	Strict Hypothesis Testing (SHT)	108
4.2.2.	Grounded Theory (GT)	108
4.2.3.	The benefits of an Adaptive Theory approach	109
4.3.	Case study research as the selected form of enquiry	112
4.3.1.	The application of case study research to investigate design practice	113
4.3.2.	Generalisations from case study research and theory building	114
4.4.	Case study design	115
4.4.1.	A focus on the environment of industrial design consulting	115
4.4.2.	Approach to sampling and overview of the research design	117
4.4.3.	Semi-structured interviews as the main source of data	123
4.4.4.	Analysis—from data to information	128

4.5.	Reflecting on the usefulness of Adaptive Theory in this research project	133
CHAPTER 5. A PRELIMINARY FRAMEWORK OF THE EXPANDED NOTION OF ECODESIGN		
		135
5.1.	Integrating the ecological considerations in product development processes—the perspective of an industrial design consultancy.....	136
5.1.1.	General success factors for a product development process from the perspective of an IDC	137
5.1.2.	The competency in LCT and its application.....	139
5.1.3.	Identifying goals and drivers, stimulating the integration of ecological considerations into the product development process.....	139
5.2.	Classifying ecodesign interventions from the perspective of industrial designers	142
5.2.1.	Product-technology-focused ecodesign interventions	144
5.2.2.	Behaviour-focused ecodesign interventions	145
5.2.3.	Means-focused ecodesign interventions	148
5.2.4.	Demand-focused ecodesign interventions	150
5.2.5.	The relation between the four categories of ecodesign interventions	153
5.2.6.	Assessing the ecodesign interventions of the IDCs.....	156
5.3.	Summary of the preliminary framework	157
CHAPTER 6. THE AUSTRALIAN CONTEXT FOR ECODESIGN: EXPERT PERSPECTIVES AND IDC SELF-REPRESENTATIONS ON WEBSITES		
		159
6.1.	Why focus on Australia?	160
6.2.	The Australian context for industrial design consulting	162
6.2.1.	Do Australian IDCs have strategic influence?	163
6.3.	Disseminating ecodesign knowledge in Australia.....	165
6.3.1.	Can Australian IDCs practice ecodesign?	166
6.3.2.	Different opinions on how ecodesign practice can be progressed.....	168
6.4.	Concluding summary	169
CHAPTER 7. EMPIRICAL FINDINGS—EXPERIENCES FROM INDUSTRIAL DESIGN CONSULTANCIES AND THEIR CLIENTS		
		170
7.1.	Case Study IDC#1	172
7.1.1.	Exemplary project: Project#1.....	173
7.1.2.	IDC#1's general ecodesign expertise and practice	184
7.1.3.	Difficulties with practicing ecodesign	186
7.1.4.	Pathways towards facilitating more ecodesign practice	189
7.2.	Case Study IDC#2	191
7.2.1.	Exemplary project: Project#2.....	192
7.2.2.	IDC#2's general ecodesign expertise and practice	204
7.2.3.	Difficulties with practicing ecodesign	206
7.2.4.	Pathways towards facilitating more ecodesign practice	208
7.3.	Case Study IDC#3	210
7.3.1.	Exemplary project: Project#3.....	211
7.3.2.	IDC#3's general ecodesign expertise and practice	221
7.3.3.	Difficulties with practicing ecodesign	224
7.3.4.	Pathways towards facilitating more ecodesign practice	226
7.4.	Case Study IDC#4	230
7.4.1.	The exemplary projects of IDC#4.....	231

7.4.2.	Exemplary project: Project#4A	232
7.4.3.	Exemplary project: Project#4B	242
7.4.4.	IDC#4's general ecodesign practice	250
7.4.5.	Difficulties with practicing ecodesign	251
7.4.6.	Pathways towards facilitating more ecodesign practice	254
7.5.	Preliminary interpretation of the empirical findings	256
7.5.1.	Widening the applicability of industrial design practice.....	256
7.5.2.	Relating the empirical findings back to the research questions.....	258
7.5.3.	Overview of the discussion chapters	259
CHAPTER 8. DISCUSSION OF CAUSAL RELATIONSHIPS IN THE OBSERVED ECODESIGN PRACTICE		261
8.1.	What limits ecodesign practice in an activist approach?.....	263
8.1.1.	The underlying reasons for an activist approach.....	264
8.1.2.	Implications of an activist approach for the nature of the ecodesign practice	264
8.1.3.	The ecodesign practice that can be expected in an activist approach	268
8.2.	Progressing from an activist approach to a collaborative approach	269
8.2.1.	The potential benefits of a collaborative approach.....	270
8.2.2.	Progressing from an activist approach to a collaborative approach	271
8.3.	What prevented life cycle thinking (LCT) in Project#1?.....	275
8.3.1.	Do start-ups offer more potential to practice ecodesign?	276
8.3.2.	How important are the underlying reasons for the client-openness to ecodesign?	278
8.3.3.	Are the drivers for ecodesign and the resulting ecodesign practice interrelated?	279
8.4.	Progressing ecodesign through industrial design practice	281
8.4.1.	Identifying business opportunities for products with eco-friendly meanings..	283
8.4.2.	Refining the preliminary theoretical framework	285
CHAPTER 9. PATHWAYS TOWARDS MORE ECODESIGN PRACTICE		288
9.1.	The role of cost-savings, legislative requirements and standards as drivers	290
9.1.1.	The hope for legislation and standards, demanding eco-friendly products.....	290
9.1.2.	Is it possible to achieve eco-benefits if ecodesign is justified via cost-savings?.....	291
9.2.	Expectations for further development of analytical LCT tools	292
9.3.	Difficulties with demand-focused ecodesign interventions	294
9.3.1.	A reluctance to develop propositions for eco-friendly product meanings.....	295
9.3.2.	Barriers for influencing the meaning dimension and solution-focused thinking ...	297
9.4.	The expanded notion of ecodesign: a pathway towards sustainable design?	301
9.4.1.	How problematic is justifying ecodesign through increasing the consumption of an eco-friendly solution?	302
9.4.2.	How far can the expanded notion of ecodesign prepare the current context for a transition towards sustainable design?	303
CHAPTER 10. CONCLUDING DISCUSSION		305
10.1.	Summary of key findings.....	306
10.2.	Answering the overarching research question—implications for industrial designers	310
10.2.1.	Clarification of the limitations and potentials of the conclusions	312

10.3. Implications for further research	314
10.3.1. Directions for further research	314
Appendix 1: Diagram, conceptualising an iterative way of structuring design practice	317
Appendix 2: Full procedure of the website content analysis	318
Appendix 3: Background information and interview questions, provided to the research participants	321
Appendix 4: Overview of the conducted interviews	326
Appendix 5: Presentation of the preliminary findings	327
Appendix 6: Example of a mind map of the interview findings.....	328
Appendix 7: List of papers published in the course of this research	329
Bibliography	330

Table of Figures

Figure 1: Logical structure of the thesis.....	11
Figure 2: The interrelationship between the influence of industrial design practice, its underlying structure and its context.....	16
Figure 3: The problem situation of industrial design practice	23
Figure 4: The combination of Design Thinking and Design Craft to conceptualise different design professions	25
Figure 5: The proposed structure of the design process according to the rational problem-solving paradigm	27
Figure 6: Co-evolution of appraisal and understanding of problem and solution.....	29
Figure 7: The application of Design Thinking to develop an understanding of a problem situation and possible solutions	30
Figure 8: Proposed visualisation of the continuum of design professions	32
Figure 9: The levers of industrial designers on a design.....	36
Figure 10: Interrelationship between perceptual information and real affordance	39
Figure 11: The construction of product meaning	43
Figure 12: Levers of industrial design to exert influence on the two dimensions, describing product properties that facilitate a product's emotional and utilitarian functionality.....	45
Figure 13: Representation of the product properties accounting for the multi-layered nature of the meanings consumers attach to products	46
Figure 14: Map to describe human-centred design (HCD)	48
Figure 15: The contribution of industrial design practice in an operational role to the outcomes of a product development process.....	54
Figure 16: The contribution of industrial design practice in a strategic role to the outcomes of a product development process.....	55
Figure 17: The explorative capacity of industrial design practice	56
Figure 18: The structure of a traditional ecodesign process	73
Figure 19: Types of innovation, associated time required and impact reduction potential	78
Figure 20: The three perspectives that this thesis distinguishes in the development of the understanding of the ecodesign idea, the requirements to transition between them and the perspective this thesis proposes for incorporating ecological considerations into industrial design practice	80
Figure 21: Positioning industrial design practice relative to the groups of concepts classified as ecodesign and sustainable design	88
Figure 22: The technical perspective on the identification of drivers for integrating ecological considerations into the design process and the role of industrial design practice	94
Figure 23: A new context for design practice to prevent a marginalisation of social, economic and ecological issues.....	96

Figure 24: Industrial design practice in a strategic role to reveal and/or stimulate drivers for ecodesign	98
Figure 25: Incorporating the ecodesign idea into industrial design practice—an expanded notion of ecodesign as a possible transition towards sustainable design	99
Figure 26: Adaptive Theory (AT), contrasted with Grounded Theory (GT) and Strict Hypothesis Testing (SHT)	110
Figure 27: The context of industrial design consulting more easily allows for focusing on the contribution of industrial design practice to ecodesign	116
Figure 28: Overview of the research design	118
Figure 29: Procedure of analysing the first set of interviews	130
Figure 30: Procedure for analysing the second set of interviews.....	132
Figure 31: Categories of drivers for including ecodesign in the design process from the perspective of industrial designers	140
Figure 32: Capacity of industrial design practice to draw on the problem and solution-focused elements of Design Thinking to identify goals and drivers for ecodesign	141
Figure 33: The impact of the individual categories of ecodesign interventions on the product properties.....	154
Figure 34: Preliminary framework describing the expanded notion of ecodesign.....	157
Figure 35: Percentage of the IDCs within the sample set who represented themselves in a strategic role	164
Figure 36: Communication of ecodesign services and LCT on the websites of Australian, German Chinese and American IDCs	167
Figure 37: Assessment of the Product#1 in regard to its quantifiable and (proposed) perceived ecological performance	180
Figure 38: The role of problem- and solution-focused thinking in identifying goals and drivers in Project#1	183
Figure 39: Assessment of the Product#2 regarding its quantifiable and (proposed) perceived ecological performance	202
Figure 40: The role of problem- and solution-focused thinking in identifying goals and drivers in Project#2	204
Figure 41: Assessment of Product#3 in regard to its quantifiable and (proposed) perceived ecological performance	219
Figure 42: The role of problem- and solution-focused thinking in identifying goals and drivers in Project#3	221
Figure 43: Assessment of Product#4A in regard to its quantifiable and (proposed) perceived ecological performance	238
Figure 44: The role of problem- and solution-focused thinking in identifying goals and drivers in Project#4A.....	242

Figure 45: Assessment of Product#4B in regard to its quantifiable and (proposed) perceived ecological performance	249
Figure 46: The role of problem- and solution-focused thinking in identifying goals and drivers in Project#4B.....	250
Figure 47: Mind-map of the activist approach, highlighting the individual factors determining the ecodesign practice and the expected outcomes.....	269
Figure 48: Alignment of justifying ecodesign practice via eco-friendly meanings, consumers perceive as valuable with the agenda of industrial design.....	282
Figure 49: The role of industrial design practice for developing products with a low ecological impact, emphasising the importance of justifying the integration ecological considerations into the product development process via identifying consumer preferences for eco-friendly solutions.....	286
Figure 50: Incorporating the ecodesign idea into industrial design practice—an expanded notion of ecodesign as a possible transition towards sustainable design.....	302

Table of Tables

Table 1: Industrial Design Craft that supports problem- and solution-focused thinking	35
Table 2: Success factors for integrating the ecodesign idea into a commercial environment...	90
Table 3: Product-technology-focused ecodesign interventions	144
Table 4: Behaviour-focused ecodesign interventions.....	147
Table 5: Aliases, used for the research participants	171
Table 6: The ecodesign interventions conducted in Project#1.....	176
Table 7: The ecodesign interventions conducted in Project#2.....	196
Table 8: The ecodesign interventions, conducted in Product#3	215
Table 9: The ecodesign interventions, conducted in Product#4A	235
Table 10: The ecodesign interventions conducted in Project#4B	247
Table 11: Issues associated with an activist approach and the capacity of a collaborative approach to address them.....	271
Table 12: General client readiness factors and specific client readiness factors for ecodesign	272
Table 13: Contrasting the Project#1 with the other exemplary projects that were conducted in a collaborative approach	276

Table of Boxes

Box 1: On terminology: Product innovation process, product development process and design process.....	18
BOX 2: On terminology: Consumer or User?	21
BOX 3: The move of the engineering profession towards more iterative models	32
BOX 4: On terminology: Every product is meaningful	43
BOX 5: The visualisation of the product properties in a two-dimensional space is a simplification.....	46
BOX 6: Kansei engineering—a problem-focused approach to influence the meaning dimension	50
BOX 7: On terminology: Isolated product qualities perspective, technical perspective and socio-technical perspective 67	
BOX 8: Engagement with LCA in this thesis	73
BOX 9: Are there different types or levels of innovation?.....	77
BOX 10: Social life cycle assessment (SLCA)—an attempt of the LCA community to address the social agenda of sustainable development.....	85
BOX 11: The overt and the hidden social agenda of industrial design	86
BOX 12: On terminology: The use of the expressions ‘eco-friendly products’, ‘products with a low ecological impact’ and ‘products with a lower ecological impact’ in this document	91
BOX 13: On Terminology: Design Activism	96
BOX 14: On Terminology: Abduction	111
BOX 15: On terminology: Ecodesign strategies, a widely-used term to describe ecodesign interventions.....	142
BOX 16: The PSS concept does not always bring along ecological benefits	149
BOX 17: The impossibility of establishing exclusive categories for the executed design interventions.....	156
BOX 18: Labelling of the interviewed ecodesign experts	160
BOX 19: Labelling of the research participants.....	171

Abbreviations

AT	Adaptive Theory
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CfD	Centre for Design
DIA	Design Institute of Australia
GT	Grounded Theory
HCD / UCD	Human Centred Design / User Centred Design
ICSID	International Council of Societies of Industrial Design
IDC	Industrial design consultancy
LCA	Life Cycle Assessment
LCT	Life Cycle Thinking
PSS	Product Service System
RMIT	Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology
SHT	Strict Hypothesis Testing
SLCA	Social Life Cycle Assessment
SRD	Society for Responsible Design
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
USA	United States of America
VDID	Professional association of German industrial designers (Verband Deutscher Industrie Designer e.V.)

Abstract

Industrial designers play a pivotal role in the development of consumer products. Consumer products contribute significantly to society's ecological impact, which needs to be lowered. This thesis examines the role of industrial design practice in developing consumer products with low(er) ecological impacts by (i) expanding the concept of ecodesign and (ii) collecting evidence on its contemporary application in Australia. Ecodesign refers to both the integration of ecological considerations into commercial product development processes and their conversion into product designs. When practicing ecodesign, industrial designers must consider the entire life cycle of products—an approach termed *Life Cycle Thinking* (LCT).

This research proposes that industrial design practice allows two expansions to the traditional notion of ecodesign. Firstly, it can uncover new opportunities for creating value through eco-designed products by applying solution-focused thinking. *Solution-focused thinking* uses representations of tentative suggestions for product designs to explore responses of the context being designed for. Traditionally, ecodesign only applies *problem-focused thinking*—deductively analysing the status quo to establish requirements for how value can be created. This can result in a lock-in to incremental product-improvement. Secondly, industrial design practice can widen the range of interventions that convert ecological considerations into product designs towards manipulating how products are perceived and understood by consumers, namely, the *meanings* attached to products. Traditionally, ecodesign focuses too narrowly on *technical aspects* of product design and has failed to sufficiently represent influencing *product meanings*.

For this research project multiple-case study research was conducted, investigating the ecodesign practice of Australian industrial design consultancies (IDCs) and their clients. The theoretically developed notion of ecodesign was used to guide and structure the enquiry. Data was collected through content analysis of IDC-websites and sixteen interviews with ecodesign experts, representatives of IDCs and their clients. The empirical insights show that the proposed expansions to ecodesign are appropriate. They can support converting ecological considerations into product designs. In tandem, they can also help with exploring and potentially stimulating opportunities for products that offer new eco-friendly meanings to consumers, which they perceive as valuable. If industrial design practice can identify such opportunities, it can justify ecodesign—guided by LCT—as a value-adding element in the product development process.

In conclusion, industrial designers can contribute to reducing the negative ecological impact of society by embracing the expanded notion of ecodesign. Several factors need to align to enable this; most importantly, they need to practice ecodesign in collaboration with their clients.